



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARK
Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NUMBER

FILING DATE

FIRST NAMED APPLICANT

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.

08/905,971

8/5/97

Kazuyuki
Toyoda

6117

EXAMINER

R. Zervigon

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

1763

25

DATE MAILED:

INTERVIEW SUMMARY

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Rudy Zervigon

(3) Carolyn T. Brumley-Geller

(2) Jeff Lund

(4)

Date of Interview May 13, 2003

Type: Telephonic Televideo Conference Personal (copy is given to applicant applicant's representative).

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: Yes No If yes, brief description:

Agreement was reached. was not reached.

Claim(s) discussed: All pending

Identification of prior art discussed: Tateishi et al.; Mikio Takagi (02-152251, Japan)

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

The references were reviewed and the positions on the case were clarified. Potentially closer references may exist and will be contingent on an updated search.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary. A FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has not been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an attachment to another form.